CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

using, by one or more computing devices, a system definition model in a

development phase of a system to design the system, wherein the system is an

application;

subsequently using, by the one or more computing devices, the system definition

model in a deployment phase of the system to deploy the system on at least one of the

one or more computing devices; and

after deployment of the system, using, by the one or more computing devices,

the system definition model in a management phase of the system to manage the

system deployed on the at least one of the one or more computing devices; and

prior to the design, deployment, and management of the system,

using, by the one or more computing devices, another system definition

model to design an environment, wherein the system is deployed to the

environment on the one or more computing devices;

subsequently using, by the one or more computing devices, the other system

definition model to deploy the environment on the one or more computing

devices; and

after deployment of the environment, using, by the one or more computing

devices, the other system definition model to manage the environment deployed

on the one or more computing devices,

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck -2- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

wherein the system definition model includes constraints that must be satisfied by the environment in order for the system to be run on the one or more computing devices, and wherein the other system definition model includes other constraints that must be satisfied by the system in order for the system to be run on the one or more

computing devices.

2. (Cancelled)

3. (Cancelled)

4. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

using knowledge obtained during management of the system to design a subsequent

version of the system.

5. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system definition

model includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system on the one or more

computing devices.

6. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system definition

model includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system on multiple different

computing devices, and wherein the knowledge includes different knowledge describing

how to deploy the system on each of the multiple different computing devices.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck -3- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

7. (Cancelled)

8. (Currently Amended) A method as recited in claim 1[7], wherein the

system definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by

the one or more computing devices during design of the system.

9. (Currently Amended) A method as recited in claim 1[[7]], wherein the

system definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by

the one or more computing devices during design of the system and during

management of the system.

10. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system definition

model includes knowledge describing how to manage the system after deployment of

the system.

11. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

during management of the system, using a flow to automatically propagate a

configuration change to the system.

12. (Cancelled)

13. (Currently Amended) A method as recited in claim 1[[2]], wherein the

system definition model for the environment is derived through examination of the

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

-4- lee@haves The Business of IP*

configuration of the one or more computing devices.

14. (Cancelled)

15. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein a plurality of

environments are deployed on the one or more computing devices, the method further

comprising:

using a plurality of different system definition models to design each of the

plurality of environments, wherein each of the plurality of environments is associated

with one of the plurality of different system definition models;

using, for each environment, the associated one of the plurality of different

system definition models to deploy the environment; and

after deployment, using, for each environment, the associated one of the plurality

of different system definition models to manage the environment.

16. (Original) A method as recited in claim 15, wherein each of the plurality of

environments is layered, and wherein each of the plurality of environments serves as

environment to one other of the plurality of environments or to the system.

17. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage media

having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that when executed by a processor,

cause the processor to:

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck -5- lee⊗hayes The Business of IP*

use a system definition model in a development phase of a system to

design the system, wherein the system is an application, the system definition

model includes a representation of an environment in which the application is to

be deployed, and the using includes binding the application to the representation

in the system definition model:

subsequently use the system definition model in a deployment phase of

the system to deploy the system on one or more computing devices; and

after deployment of the system, use the system definition model in a

management phase of the system to manage the system deployed on the one or

more computing devices.

18. (Cancelled)

19. (Cancelled)

20. (Previously Presented) One or more computer readable storage media

as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes knowledge

describing how to deploy the system.

21. (Previously Presented) One or more computer readable storage media

as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes knowledge

describing how to deploy the system in multiple different environments, and wherein the

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

-6- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

knowledge includes different knowledge describing how to deploy the system in each of

the multiple different environments.

22. (Previously Presented) One or more computer readable storage media

as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes constraints that

must be satisfied by an environment in order for the system to be run in the

environment.

23. (Previously Presented) One or more computer readable storage media

as recited in claim 22, wherein to use the system definition model to deploy the system

is to use the system definition model to check whether the constraints are satisfied by

the environment during design of the system.

24. (Previously Presented) One or more computer readable storage media

as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes knowledge

describing how to manage the system.

25. (Currently Amended) An apparatus comprising:

a processor;

means operable by the processor for using a system definition model in a

development phase of a system to design the system, wherein the system is an

application, wherein the using comprises including, in the system definition model,

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

-7- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

constraints that must be satisfied by an environment in order for the system to be run in

the environment;

means operable by the processor for subsequently using the system definition

model in a deployment phase of the system to deploy the system on one or more

computing devices; and

means operable by the processor for, after deployment of the system, using the

system definition model in a management phase of the system to manage the system

deployed on the one or more computing devices; and

means operable by the processor for validating that the constraints are satisfied during

the design, deployment, and management of the system.

26. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the

means for subsequently using the system definition model in a development phase

comprises means for including, in the system definition model, knowledge describing

how to deploy the system.

27. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the

means for subsequently using the system definition model in a development phase

comprises means for including, in the system definition model, knowledge describing

how to deploy the system in multiple different environments, and wherein the knowledge

includes different knowledge describing how to deploy the system in each of the

multiple different environments.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck -8- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

28. (Cancelled)

29. (Cancelled)

30. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the

means for using the system definition model in a management phase of the system

comprises means for including, in the system definition model, knowledge describing

how to manage the system.

31. (Currently Amended) A system comprising:

a processor; and

a plurality of executable instructions which, when executed by the processor.

perform operations comprising:

using a system definition model to design an application, the system

definition model being applicable across a lifecycle of the application, wherein the

lifecycle of the application includes design of the application, deployment of the

application, and management of the application, and wherein the system

definition model includes a representation of an environment in which the

application is to be deployed, and the using includes binding the application to

the representation in the system definition model:

subsequently using the system definition model to deploy the application

on one or more computing devices; and

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

-9- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

after deployment of the application, using the system definition model to

manage the application deployed on the one or more computing devices;

wherein the system further includes a schema to dictate how functional

operations within the system definition model are to be specified.

32. (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system definition

model includes information describing how to deploy the application.

33. (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system definition

model includes information describing how to deploy the application in multiple different

environments, and wherein the information includes different information describing how

to deploy the application in each of the multiple different environments.

34. (Currently Amended) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the

system definition model includes constraints that must be satisfied by [[an]] the

environment in order for the application to be run in the environment.

35. (Original) A system as recited in claim 34, wherein the system definition

model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by one or more

computing devices in the system during design of the application and during

management of the application.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck -10- lee@hayes The Business of IP*

36. (Original) A system as recited in claim 34, wherein the system definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by the environment

during design of the application.

37. (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system definition

model includes information describing how to manage the application.

38. (Currently Amended) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the

system further comprises:

another system definition model applicable across a lifecycle of [[an]] the

environment, wherein the lifecycle of the environment includes design of the

environment, deployment of the environment, and management of the environment; and

wherein the schema is further to dictate how functional operations within the

other system definition model are to be specified.

39. (Currently Amended) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the other

system definition model for the environment is derived through examination of the

configuration of one or more computing devices.

40. (Original) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the system definition

model includes constraints that must be satisfied by the environment in order for the

application to be run on the environment, and wherein the other system definition model

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

-11- lee@haves The Business of IP*

includes other constraints that must be satisfied by the application in order for the

application to be run on the environment.

41. (Original) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the system further

comprises:

an additional system definition model applicable across a lifecycle of an

additional environment, wherein the lifecycle of the additional environment includes

design of the additional environment, deployment of the additional environment, and

management of the additional environment;

wherein the additional environment is layered below the environment; and

wherein the schema is further to dictate how functional operations within the

additional system definition model are to be specified.

42. (Cancelled)

43. (Cancelled)

44. (Currently Amended) A method as recited in claim 1[[42]], wherein the

system definition model includes information describing how to deploy the system in

multiple different runtimes, and wherein the information includes different information

describing how to deploy the system in each of the multiple different runtimes.

45.-47. (Cancelled)

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck -12- lee⊗hayes The Business of IP*